

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,376	EUCHNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jared J. Fureman	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO).

(2) Mr. Ronald Reichman (26,796).

Date of Interview: 12 July 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:00 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1, 7 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jared J. Fureman

JARED J. FUREMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Reichman authorized an examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 8. The examiner's amendment was necessary in order to further clarify the claims. In view of the amendment to claim 1, Mr. Reichman authorized cancelling claim 7, since claim 7 was no longer necessary.